

# In the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska

**Cim Joel Blair,**

Appellant,

v.

**State of Alaska,**

Appellee.

Supreme Court No. **S-17434**

## **Order**

Motion for Clarification

Date of Order: **2/14/2020**

Trial Court Case No. **3KN-18-00743CI**

Appellant Cim Joel Blair filed a Motion for Clarification dated 2/2/2020, apparently challenging Assistant Attorney General Matthew Cicotte’s ability to continue representing Appellee State of Alaska, Division of Corrections, in this case. No opposition has been filed. Appellant notes that on 10/19/18 Mr. Cicotte filed a Limited Entry of Appearance in the superior court, expressly limiting his appearance to opposing entry of an injunction and challenging the adequacy of service.

This order does not address whether the issues on appeal continue to fall within the parameters identified by Mr. Cicotte’s limited entry of appearance. Even assuming they do not, the Court has no question about his ability to represent Appellee on appeal. Alaska Civil Rule 81(c)(1) provides: “An attorney who files a pleading or appears in a court proceeding on behalf of a party shall be deemed to have entered an appearance for all purposes in that case unless the attorney has filed and served a limited entry of appearance under (d) of this rule.” If Mr. Cicotte filed a pleading that went beyond the parameters of his limited entry of appearance, he thereby entered an appearance for all purposes in the case.

On consideration of the 2/2/2020 Motion for Clarification, therefore, **IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is granted to the extent of this explanation.

Entered at the direction of an individual justice.

Clerk of the Appellate Courts

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Beth A. Pechota", is written over a horizontal line.

Beth A. Pechota, Deputy Clerk

**Distribution:**

Mail:  
Blair, Cim Joel  
Cicotte, Matthias R